

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/635,749	<b>Applicant(s)</b> HAUCK ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> Min Jung	<b>Art Unit</b> 2663	

**All Participants:**

(1) Min Jung.

(2) Robert Gazdzinski.

**Status of Application:** Pending (RCE)

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Date of Interview:** 4 May 2007

**Time:** 12:30PM

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

*Rejection under 35 USC 101.*

Claims discussed:

*Claims 2, 9-13, 20, 21, 27, and 37*

Prior art documents discussed:

**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

*See Continuation Sheet*

**Part III.**

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Examiner/SPE Signature)

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner proposed make changes to claim language consistent with the suggestions made in the last office action to remove any question under 35 USC 101. Mr. Gazdzinski agreed to the proposed changes. The changes are detailed in the Examiner's Amendment attached hereto.